Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/018,105	ROEMER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael D. Burkhart	1633
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Michael D. Burkhart</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Diane Tso</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 19 June 2006	Time: <u>1:45</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1		
Prior art documents discussed:		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Discussed amendment of claim 1 in order to put the claims in		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)